Energetics of single active diffusion trajectories

S. Shinkai[1] and Y. Togashi[2]

[1] *Department of Mathematical and Life Science, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University, Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan*
[2] *Department of Computational Science, Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe University, Rokkodai, Kobe 657-8501, Japan*

arXiv:1401.5955v1 [cond-mat.soft] 23 Jan 2014

PACS 05.40.Jc – Brownian motion
PACS 05.70.Fh – Nonequilibrium and irreversible thermodynamics
PACS 87.10.Mn – Stochastic modeling

Abstract – The fundamental insight into Brownian motion by Einstein is that all substances exhibit continual fluctuations due to thermal agitation balancing with the frictional resistance. However, even at thermal equilibrium, biological activity can give rise to non-equilibrium fluctuations that cause "active diffusion" in living cells. Because of the non-stationary and non-equilibrium nature of such fluctuations, mean square displacement analysis, relevant only to a steady state ensemble, may not be the most suitable choice as it depends on the choice of the ensemble; hence, a new analytical method for describing active diffusion is desired. Here we discuss the stochastic energetics of a thermally fluctuating single active diffusion trajectory driven by non-thermal random forces. Heat dissipation, usually difficult to measure, can be estimated from the active diffusion trajectory; guidelines on the analysis such as criteria for the time resolution and driving force intensity are shown by a statistical test. This leads to the concept of an "instantaneous diffusion coefficient" connected to heat dissipation that may be used to analyse the activity and molecular transport mechanisms of living systems.

Introduction. – Einstein's development of the theory of Brownian motion enabled advances such as the enumeration of Avogadro's number through mean square displacement (MSD) analysis of diffusive micro particles in equilibrium [1, 2] and has been generalized to the fluctuation-dissipation relation (FDR) in statistical physics [3].

However, many macromolecules in biological systems can exert their functions out of equilibrium by using external free energy from suppliers such as ATP molecules and dissipating heat to the environment; such behaviour obviously violates the FDR and has been exposed by microrheology studies of both living cells [4–6] and in vitro cytoskeletal networks [7,8]. ATP-dependent non-equilibrium fluctuations violating the FDR cause active diffusion in living cells even at thermal equilibrium [9, 10]. Understanding the energetics of non-equilibrium steady states enables the measurement of the extent of such violations in terms of the energy dissipation rate [11–14] and the velocity FDRs [15]. The activities of molecular machines such as motors can also affect the transport of molecules within a system. Some molecular motors can carry cargoes such as vesicles or nanoparticles and move processively along a filament, as occurs in the case of myosin working on an actin filament and kinesin on a microtubule. Occasionally, a "tug-of-war" between motors [16, 17], in which a single cargo is carried by two or more types of motors and changes either direction or tracks [18] (e.g. microtubule to actin), is also observed. This situation can also be described as active diffusion, as cargoes are driven by both stochastically applied forces (non-thermal fluctuations applied by the motors) and thermal fluctuations (see fig. 1).

Recent single molecule measurements have provided insights into the mechanisms of molecular machines, both in vitro [19–22] and in vivo [23, 24]. It remains difficult, however, to directly measure the force or work exerted by individual motors within a cell, especially when multiple motors work on single cargoes; although the motion of a single molecule or nano-particle can be tracked, the number of samples that can be extracted is typically very limited and the motion measured may reflect the local cellular environment. Thus, when we routinely take an ensemble average over a set of trajectories, most of the information on in vivo machine behaviour may be lost. Thus, it would



Fig. 1: Schematic illustration of the energetics of an active diffusive particle. Under such fluctuations, dissipative heat ΔQ_n balances with the work ΔW_n done on the system [25]. As it is usually experimentally difficult to measure the non-thermal force driving the particle in active diffusion, it is correspondingly nearly impossible to measure the work balance with the dissipative heat. However, the value $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n = \gamma(\Delta x_n)^2/\Delta t - 2k_BT$, which can be estimated from the diffusion trajectory $\{x_n\}$, can be regarded to be approximate dissipative heat.

be useful to develop a methodology for extracting information from single trajectories; accordingly, we propose in this study a new method of measuring the heat dissipation of a single active diffusion trajectory.

Active diffusion model. – We can use an overdamped Langevin system with a non-thermal and non-conservative random force $\hat{f}(t)$ to model active diffusion in living cells:

$$\gamma\dot{x}(t) = \hat{f}(t) + \sqrt{2\gamma k_BT}\,\hat{\xi}(t), \tag{1}$$

where γ is the friction constant, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature of the environment, and $\hat{\xi}(t)$ is Gaussian white noise satisfying $\langle\hat{\xi}(t)\hat{\xi}(s)\rangle = \delta(t-s)$. $\langle\cdot\rangle$ denotes the ensemble average. We assume that $\hat{f}(t)$ is an arbitrary stochastic process to express active driving force from the non-thermal environment and has a certain value in the time interval $[t, t+\delta t)$, in which the time resolution δt makes the Langevin equation well-defined. For simplicity, diffusion is assumed here to occur in one-dimensional space, although it would be easy to extend the analysis to d-dimension ($d = 2$ or 3). More generally, a potential force $-dU(x)/dx$ should be added in eq. (1); however, the Langevin equation can be effectively reduced to the same form as this equation for a periodic potential under certain conditions [26].

At a time resolution Δt and a discrete time $t_n \equiv n\Delta t$, an experimentally observed diffusion trajectory is representable by a discrete data set $\{x_n \equiv x(t_n)\}$. Here, we introduce a Wiener process [25, 27], $B(t)$, instead of the noise $\hat{\xi}(t)$ to integrate eq. (1). Then, $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n \equiv (B(t_{n+1}) - B(t_n))/\sqrt{\Delta t}$ is the Gaussian random variable satisfying $\langle\boldsymbol{\xi}_n\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\boldsymbol{\xi}_n\boldsymbol{\xi}_m\rangle = \delta_{nm}$ from the definition of the Wiener process. The corresponding discrete Langevin equation can then be obtained by integrating eq. (1) from t_n to t_{n+1}:

$$\gamma\Delta x_n = \boldsymbol{f}_n\Delta t + \sqrt{2\gamma k_BT\Delta t}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}_n, \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta x_n \equiv x_{n+1} - x_n$. We assume that $\boldsymbol{f}_n$ is a random variable representing a random force in the time interval $[t_n, t_n + \Delta t)$. Note that the difference between the approximate x_n of eq. (2) and the true $x(t)$ of eq. (1) is $O(\Delta t^{1/2})$ [25], and that numerical calculations are done by using of eq. (2), called the Euler scheme [27], because of the independence of $\boldsymbol{f}_n$ from the position x_n.

Dissipative heat. – Thermodynamic variables such as work and heat in the fluctuating world can be described using stochastic energetics [25]. The energy dissipated by a system to the environment during time interval $[t_n, t_{n+1})$ can be expressed as

$$\Delta Q_n \equiv \left(\gamma\frac{\Delta x_n}{\Delta t} - \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma k_BT}{\Delta t}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_n\right) \circ \Delta x_n, \tag{3}$$

where $\circ$ denotes Stratonovich multiplication. This dissipated energy can be defined as the dissipative heat of the system, and because there is no potential force in eq. (1), this dissipative heat balances with the work done to the system by the random force $\boldsymbol{f}_n$ during the time interval $[t_n, t_{n+1})$:

$$\Delta Q_n = \Delta W_n \equiv \boldsymbol{f}_n \circ \Delta x_n. \tag{4}$$

As $\boldsymbol{f}_n$ is a non-conservative force (i.e. it does not depend on x_n), Stratonovich multiplication $\circ$ is equivalent to usual multiplication in all of the formulation that follows. Combining eqs. (2)-(4), we obtain the energy balance relation:

$$\Delta W_n = \Delta Q_n = \Delta\widetilde{Q}_n + 2k_BT(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}_n^2) - \sqrt{\frac{2k_BT\Delta t}{\gamma}}\boldsymbol{f}_n\boldsymbol{\xi}_n, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n \equiv \frac{\gamma(\Delta x_n)^2}{\Delta t} - 2k_BT. \tag{6}$$

Note that the work and the dissipative heat can be determined only when all of the information on x_n and $\boldsymbol{f}_n$ are known; however, as the random force $\boldsymbol{f}_n$ cannot usually be observed, we can instead estimate the quantity $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ using only the observed diffusion trajectory $\{x_n\}$. Equation (6) implies that $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ equals the excess energy left



Fig. 2: Statistical test of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$. (a) Distributions of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a = 0$, $a = a_c$, and ΔQ_n for $a = a_c$. For a fixed significance level ε_0, the relation among the filled regions and $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ is: $\Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(a=0) > q^0_{\varepsilon_0}\} = \Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(a=a_c) \le q^0_{\varepsilon_0}\} = \varepsilon_0$. ΔQ_n and $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ have the same mean value $8a^2$. (b) The function $\varepsilon(a)$, which corresponds to the probability that $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a > 0$ is less than $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$, is a decreasing function of a (see Appendix). The value a_c satisfies $\varepsilon(a_c) = \varepsilon_0$.

over in subtracting the average energy dissipation in equilibrium $2k_BT$ from the energy generated by the motion $\gamma(\Delta x_n)^2/\Delta t$. Here $\Delta Q_n \neq \Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$; nevertheless, given the ergodicity of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$, $\langle\boldsymbol{\xi}_n^2\rangle = \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_n^2 = 1$, and the independence between the random variables $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_n\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{f}_n\}$, we see that $\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\boldsymbol{f}_n\boldsymbol{\xi}_n = \left(\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\boldsymbol{f}_n\right)\langle\boldsymbol{\xi}_n\rangle = 0$, and thus the energy dissipation rates calculated from the long-time averages of both ΔQ_n and $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ are equivalent: $\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N\Delta t}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\Delta Q_n = \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N\Delta t}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$.

Statistical test. – As shown in eqs. (5) and (6), the energy dissipation values ΔQ_n and $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ are fluctuating quantities. To better understand their distributions, we can use the following non-dimensional parameter for a random force $\boldsymbol{f}_n = f$ applied to the system at t_n:

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{f^2\Delta t}{8\gamma k_BT}}.$$

Using the random variable $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$, the dissipation values can be written as

$$\Delta Q_n = 4a(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n + 2a) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta\widetilde{Q}_n = 2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n + 2a)^2 - 2$$

in k_BT energy units. Because they have the same mean value $8a^2$, $\langle\Delta Q_n\rangle = \langle\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n\rangle = 8a^2$ for any $a \ge 0$, and thus both share the same average behaviour. However, $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ has larger fluctuations owing to its larger standard deviation $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n = 8\sqrt{a^2 + 1/8}$, as compared to $4a$ for ΔQ_n. Moreover, the relative fluctuation of this difference decreases as a function of a: $\frac{\sqrt{\langle(\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n - \Delta Q_n)^2\rangle}}{\langle\Delta Q_n\rangle} = \frac{1}{2a}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2a^2}}$.

As mentioned above, the value $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$, but not ΔQ_n, can be evaluated from observations of a diffusion trajectory $\{x_n\}$; correspondingly, our primary result is that we can statistically distinguish the distribution of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a > 0$, where the system is driven by an active random force, from that for the equilibrium condition $a = 0$. This is derived from the following statistical consideration: The pink, green and dotted curves in fig. 2(a) show the probability densities of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a = 0$, $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a = a_c$ and ΔQ_n for $a = a_c$, respectively. Since the distribution of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a = 0$ is uniquely determined, for a fixed significance level ε_0, the value $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ satisfying $\Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(a=0) > q^0_{\varepsilon_0}\} = \varepsilon_0$ is also uniquely determined. This probability corresponds to the pink filled region in fig. 2(a). For these ε_0 and $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$, let us define a function $\varepsilon(a)$ as the probability $\Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(\text{for } a > 0) \le q^0_{\varepsilon_0}\}$. Then, $\varepsilon(a)$ is explicitly written as eq. (A.2) (see Appendix), and is a decreasing function of a (see fig. 2(b)). Therefore there exists a unique a_c such that $\varepsilon(a_c) = \Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(a = a_c) \le q^0_{\varepsilon_0}\} = \varepsilon_0$, and the inequality $q^0_{\varepsilon_0} < q_{\varepsilon_0}(a)$ holds for $a > a_c$, where the value $q_{\varepsilon_0}(a)$ satisfies $\Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(a > 0) \le q_{\varepsilon_0}(a)\} = \varepsilon_0$. In other words, the upper confidence bound $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a = 0$ is smaller than the lower bound $q_{\varepsilon_0}(a)$ for $a > a_c$. The green filled region, which stands for the probability $\Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(a = a_c) \le q^0_{\varepsilon_0}\}$, represents the false negative rate at which active diffusion ($a = a_c$) is misjudged to be in equilibrium ($a = 0$), while the pink filled region denotes the false positive rate at which equilibrium fluctuations are misjudged to be active fluctuations in fig. 2(a). For example, this numerical calculation results in $a_c = 1.803$ at the significance level $\varepsilon_0 = 0.05$ (see Table A1).

The above statistical consideration provides criteria for the time resolution and driving force, namely:

$$\Delta t > \frac{8\gamma k_BT}{f^2}a_c^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |f| > \sqrt{\frac{8\gamma k_BT}{\Delta t}}a_c,$$

respectively. The former implies the existence of the lower bound of Δt with which one can statistically distinguish active fluctuations from thermal equilibrium fluctuations for a known driving force, and the latter vice versa.



Fig. 3: Numerical trajectories of external random force, active diffusion, dissipative heats, the difference between $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ and ΔQ_n, and instantaneous diffusion coefficient for the parameters $\gamma = 10^{-8}\,\mathrm{kg/s}$, $T = 300\,\mathrm{K}$ and $\Delta t = 5\,\mathrm{ms}$. The equilibrium diffusion coefficient becomes $D_{\mathrm{eq}} = k_{\mathrm{B}}T/\gamma \simeq 0.414\,\mu\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{s}$.

Numerical demonstration. – Figure 3 shows numerical trajectories for a random force $\{\boldsymbol{f}_n\}$ from which a diffusion trajectory $\{x_n\}$ is obtained using eq. (2). Given external random forces $\{\boldsymbol{f}_n\}$, the trajectory $\{x_n\}$ is calculated by using the integral Langevin equation (2) for $\gamma = 10^{-8}\,\mathrm{kg/s}$, $T = 300\,\mathrm{K}$ and $\Delta t = 5\,\mathrm{ms}$. Behaviours of both $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ and ΔQ_n are almost same with the fluctuating difference $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n - \Delta Q_n = 2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n^2 - 1) + 8a\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$, where the value a is also a random variable $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{f}_n^2\Delta t/(8\gamma k_{\mathrm{B}}T)}$ and the mean value $\langle\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n - \Delta Q_n\rangle$ becomes zero. At a significance level of $\varepsilon_0 = 0.05$, the condition $a > a_c$ for distinguishing the distributions between $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a = 0$ and $a > 0$ can be transformed into $|\boldsymbol{f}_n| \gtrsim 0.424\,\mathrm{pN}$. For a system driven by random force satisfying this condition, $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ can be distinguished from the dissipative heat generated by thermal fluctuations.

Discussion. – A physical interpretation of the value of $\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n\}$ is that it represents the measurable dissipative heat trajectory of a diffusion trajectory $\{x_n\}$. The formulation of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ developed here represents an alternative to MSD analysis. If we rewrite eq. (6) as $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n = 2\gamma\left[\dfrac{(\Delta x_n)^2}{2\Delta t} - \dfrac{k_{\mathrm{B}}T}{\gamma}\right] = 2\gamma\,[D_{\mathrm{I}}(t_n) - D_{\mathrm{eq}}]$, then, because of the Einstein relation [3], in which $k_{\mathrm{B}}T/\gamma$ is equivalent to the diffusion coefficient D_{eq} for the thermal equilibrium Langevin equation (1) for $\hat{f}(t) = 0$, the instantaneous diffusion coefficient:

$$D_{\mathrm{I}}(t_n) \equiv \frac{(\Delta x_n)^2}{2\Delta t}$$

will be an energetically well-defined physical quantity. Although this definition is trivial in the context of stationary diffusion described by the diffusion equation [1], in which the relation $D_{\mathrm{eq}} = \langle D_{\mathrm{I}}(t_n)\rangle$ is satisfied, it is noteworthy that the instantaneous diffusion coefficient developed here captures the essential characteristics of active diffusion even within a non-stationary active environment at an appropriate time resolution Δt: The instantaneous diffusion coefficient is agitated when the system is driven by active force; in thermal equilibrium, on the other hand, it fluctuates around the equilibrium diffusion coefficient D_{eq}; the calculations of $D_{\mathrm{I}}(t_n)$ are independent of the ensemble of diffusion trajectories. Because of this, we can use the instantaneous diffusion coefficient $D_{\mathrm{I}}(t_n)$ to in-

vestigate single active diffusion trajectories such as those occurring in the molecular transport mechanisms of living systems: When the instantaneous diffusion coefficient drifts upward from that for thermal fluctuations, the probe particle would be driven by certain active force; for example, in a non-equilibrium gel consisting of myosin II, actin filaments, and cross-linkers [8], the source of the active force is motor activity using ATP.

Note that diffusion "trajectories" in this paper does not correspond to the definition of "state" in the Jarzynski and Crooks non-equilibrium work relations [28–30].

In this study, we demonstrated that it is possible to extract physical quantities such as dissipative heat and the related instantaneous diffusion coefficient from individual active diffusion trajectories. Our approach differs from that of the pioneering works in non-equilibrium steady state energetics [11–14] for measuring mechanical system responses; based on our work, it is now possible to estimate dissipative heat, without measuring mechanical responses, simply by tracking a single diffusion trajectory. As living cells change their internal environments in complicated ways with consuming energy and dissipating heat throughout the cell cycle and in response to the external environment, it will be interesting to use the instantaneous diffusion coefficient to quantify these adaptive changes. In addition, by using this method it should be possible to determine the number of active motors attached to individual cargoes and to analyse their methods of cooperation.

Appendix: Detailed estimation of statistical distinction between dissipative heat under thermal equilibrium and active fluctuation. – Because the random variable $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$ has a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance 1,

$$G(x) = \Pr\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_n \leq x\} = \int_{-\infty}^{x} g(y)\,\mathrm{d}y,$$

where

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, e^{-y^2/2},$$

the distribution of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ can then be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n \leq x\} &= \Pr\{2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n + 2a)^2 - 2 \leq x\} \\ &= \Pr\left\{-\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}+1} \leq \boldsymbol{\xi}_n + 2a \leq \sqrt{\frac{x}{2}+1}\right\} \\ &= G(h(x) - 2a) - G(-h(x) - 2a),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(x) = \sqrt{\frac{x}{2}+1}.$$

Here, we define the statistical distinction of $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a = 0$ and $a > 0$ as the following inequality at a significance level $0 < \forall\varepsilon_0 < 1$:

$$q^0_{\varepsilon_0} < q_{\varepsilon_0}(a), \tag{A.1}$$

where the values $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ and $q_{\varepsilon_0}(a)$ satisfy

$$\Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(a=0) \leq q^0_{\varepsilon_0}\} = G(h(q^0_{\varepsilon_0})) - G(-h(q^0_{\varepsilon_0})) = 1-\varepsilon_0$$

Table A1: Numerically calculated values of a_c and $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ for some significance levels ε_0.

ε_0	$q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ $[k_\mathrm{B}T]$	a_c
0.10	3.4110	1.463
0.05	5.6829	1.803
0.01	11.2697	2.451

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Pr\{\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n(a>0) \leq q_{\varepsilon_0}(a)\} \\ &= G(h(q_{\varepsilon_0}(a)) - 2a) - G(-h(q_{\varepsilon_0}(a)) - 2a) = \varepsilon_0,\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Note that the value $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ is a constant for a fixed ε_0. The probability that $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ for $a > 0$ is less than $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ depends on a and can be expressed as

$$\varepsilon(a) = G(-2a + h_0) - G(-2a - h_0), \tag{A.2}$$

where $h_0 = h(q^0_{\varepsilon_0})$. We can then show that the probability $\varepsilon(a)$ monotonically decreases for $a > 0$, that is, the derivation $\varepsilon'(a)$ is negative, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon(a)}{\mathrm{d}a} &= -2\left\{g(-2a+h_0) - g(-2a-h_0)\right\} \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}}\, e^{-(4a^2+h_0^2)/2}\sinh(2h_0a) < 0\end{aligned}$$

for $a > 0$. This inequality implies that there exists a unique $a_c > 0$ such that $\varepsilon(a_c) = \varepsilon_0$ and $q^0_{\varepsilon_0} = q_{\varepsilon_0}(a_c)$ for a fixed $0 < \forall\varepsilon_0 < 1$, and therefore the inequality (A.1) holds for $a > a_c$. Based on this, we can statistically distinguish between the heat $\Delta\widetilde{Q}_n$ of a state in thermal equilibrium ($a = 0$) from that in a state driven by active fluctuations for $a > a_c$. Table A1 shows numerically calculated values of a_c and $q^0_{\varepsilon_0}$ for some significance levels ε_0.

* * *

This work was supported by MEXT, Japan (KAKENHI 23115007).

REFERENCES

[1] Einstein A., *Investigation on the theory of the Brownian Movement* (Dover Pub. Inc., New York) 1956 (original edition, 1926).
[2] Perrin J., *Les Atomes* (Librairie Félix Alcan, Paris) 1913.
[3] Kubo R., Toda M. and Hashitsume N., *Statisitical Physics II: Nonequilibrium Statisitical Mechanics* 2nd Edition (Springer-Verlag Berlin) 1991.
[4] Caspi A., Granek R. and Elbaum M., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **85** (2000) 5655.
[5] Lau A. W. C., Hoffman B. D., Davies A., Crocker J. C. and Lubensky T. C., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **91** (2003) 198101.

[6] Wilhelm C., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **101** (2008) 028101.
[7] Brangwynne C. P., Koenderink G. H., MacKintosh F. C. and Weitz D. A., *Trends in Cell Biology*, **19** (2009) 423.
[8] Mizuno D., Tardin C., Schmidt C. F. and MacKintosh F. C., *Science*, **315** (2007) 370.
[9] Weber S. C., Spakowitz A. J. and Theriot J. A., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **109** (2012) 7338.
[10] MacKintosh F. C., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **109** (2012) 7138.
[11] Harada T., *Europhys. Lett.*, **70** (2005) 49.
[12] Harada T. and Sasa S.-i., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **95** (2005) 130602.
[13] Harada T. and Sasa S.-i., *Mathematical Biosciences*, **207** (2007) 365.
[14] Toyabe S., Okamoto T., Watanabe-Nakayama T., Taketani H., Kudo S. and Muneyuki E., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **104** (2010) 198103.
[15] Speck T. and Seifert U., *Europhys. Lett.*, **74** (2006) 391.
[16] Kural C., Kim H., Syed S., Goshima G., Gelfand V. I. and Selvin P. R., *Science*, **308** (2005) 1469.
[17] Ali M. Y., Kennedy G. G., Safer D., Trybus K. M., Sweeney H. L. and Warshaw D. M., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **108** (2011) E535.
[18] Ross J. L., Ali M. Y. and Warshaw D. M., *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, **20** (2008) 41.
[19] Finer J. T., Simmons R. M. and Spudich J. A., *Nature*, **368** (1994) 113.
[20] Funatsu T., Harada Y., Tokunaga M., Saito K. and Yanagida T., *Nature*, **374** (1995) 555.
[21] Moerner W. E. and Orrit M., *Science*, **283** (1999) 1670.
[22] Weiss S., *Science*, **283** (1999) 1676.
[23] Ueda M., Sako Y., Tanaka T., Devreotes P. and Yanagida T., *Science*, **294** (2001) 864.
[24] Sako Y., *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, **2** (2006) 56.
[25] Sekimoto K., *Stochastic Energetics* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg) 2010.
[26] Hayashi K. and Sasa S.-i., *Phys. Rev. E*, **71** (2005) 020102.
[27] Gardiner C., *Stochastic Methods: A Handbook for the Natural and Social Sciences* 4th Edition (Springer) 2009.
[28] Jarzynski C., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **78** (1997) 2690.
[29] Crooks G. E., *J. Stat. Phys.*, **90** (1998) 1481.
[30] Esposito M. and den Broeck C. V., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **104** (2010) 090601.